

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,457	12/16/2003	Cheng-Chieh Yang	11053-US-PA	1456	
31561	7590 07/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			ROSE, KIESHA L		
7 FLOOR-1, 1 ROOSEVELT	NO. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 100			2822		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	10/707,457	YANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIQ SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONT	-H(6) EDOM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fitte, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely, rom the mailing date of this con DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 I	Mav 2005.					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by th	ie Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	* *					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prices application from the International Burea 	•	ived in this National S	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ived.				
	•	·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	l Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
	-/					

Application/Control Number: 10/707,457

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 11 May 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11,14-16,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams et al. (U.S. Publication 2004/0119118).

Williams discloses a discrete semiconductor circuit (Fig. 8a) that contains a circuit die having at least one electrical contact area (421/422) for connection to the packaging of semiconductor circuit, the electrical contact area being bond to the corresponding lead of packaging by metallic material formed by a metal ball (423) of prescribed feed melted during fabrication, where the metal ball is formed of lead or tin, a second metal ball (423) can also be formed and the metal balls can be formed of different materials since the balls can be either lead or tin.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,457

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Lin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,938,952).

Williams discloses all the limitations except for the metal ball to contain aluminum and copper. Whereas Lin discloses electronic device that contains a metal ball that comprises aluminum and copper. Aluminum and copper are chosen as the material for the metal ball because of the better bond strength. (Column 9, lines 3-7) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Williams by incorporating the metal ball to comprise aluminum and copper for better bond strength as taught by Lin.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Cho (U.S. Patent 5,985,694).

Williams discloses the claimed invention except for the bumps to be different sizes and shapes. Whereas Cho discloses a semiconductor die bumping method (Fig. 6-1) that contains bumps (210) that are formed of different sizes or shapes. The bumps are formed of different sizes and shapes to be utilized in optical imaging systems.

(Column 2, lines 65-67 and Column 3, lines 10-13) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/707,457

Art Unit: 2822

modify the device of Williams by incorporating the bumps to have different sizes and shapes to be utilized in optical imaging systems as taught by Cho.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLR

Michael Trinh Primary Examiner Act SPE